G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

~~LR 232 of 96~~

LR 232 of 96

Later references.

LR 275 of 01

1897

191 .

(L. R.)

File No. 203

Serial Nos. 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

~~Part~~ B for Angol

SUBJECT.

Loan of Rs 300/- advanced to Mudi Dyaram of Sode Village in Jagalur Taluk.

Indexed Amarah

W D 1351—GFE—20,000—15-12-15

86

10

Chitaldroog Dy Comm.
No 1075 / 1014 D/30-8-98

Submitted to the
1st Councillor
for further orders
in continuation with
R3321 Govt. dt. 26-8-98
No 1304 D/31-1-98
Submitting opinion
as to whether the transfer
of lands in his Division
by which loans have been
secured by Govt.
Qs. This reference
was made to the 1st
Councillor on 15-9-98.

21/12/98

It requires to be
recognized

Regarding these
instruments
I have already
expressed an opinion
that the proposal
may be accepted.
The matter is one
of contract between
Govt. & the borrower

20/12
No 7 Mm
22-12-98

Mysore Chancery
11 Rs 11-98

Reference from D/C

13/4/01
-90

22

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 8840
JUN. 6 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

189 [illegible]

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 30th May 1898.

Despatched 2nd June 1898.

Received

Enclosures No. One file of Vrs:
Papers.

General No. 10-5:
No. 1514.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the orders of Government the records connected with the application of Timmi Nayaniga of Codi village in the Hosdurga Taluk for permission to remit in the annual instalments the loan of Rs: 300, he advanced to him for the construction of an irrigation well in his ~~xxx~~

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

land survey No: 2 of Vrs:
village of the said Taluk.

Rev
4-6-98
Submitted for orders.
[illegible]
5/6/98

Section
File No
Paper No
303-6

This is the first case of the kind & I think it worth the while to make no change of rule on account of this solitary case seems called for & accordingly I am in favour of recommending the Govt course proposed accepting the means offered to pay up the deficit in a smaller no of instalments than allowed by the Rules - In case of default the man & his sureties in the first instance will be liable to the consequences -

Sd/ [illegible]
E Dy Com
Ctd
10/6/98

Remarks by the Rev. Commissioner.

I agree. But see the
(further note continued in Page 6) 11th June 1898.

No 1075.
1014.
Encl: Bill of Exchange Papers.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chittagong District
Dated 31st May 1898

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Bengal
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

In submitting herewith, for the orders of Government the papers connected with the application of Maulvi Ayan Ali of Sole Village in the Jagalchar Taluk for permission to repay in ten annual instalments, the Loan of Rs 300 advanced to him for the construction of an irrigation well in his dry land S. No 2 of Jagalchar of the said Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2 In this case the amount advanced as Irrigation Loan is Rs 300 and the extent of Ryotwari Land is 3 acres 8 gunthas. So the special charge recoverable annually for the discharge of the debt on the land

Rs 3. Repayment of the debt in 10 years as prayed for by the petitioner a sum of Rs 30-3-- will have to be paid by him i.e. Rs 20 more than the sum recoverable as per rule.

3. The work is complete and in working order but Land holder wishes to be relieved of the Government debt as soon as possible.

4. Although it is the intention of Government to recover the amount in as easy terms as possible yet I do not think it proper to refuse Cazee payments when offered by the parties themselves.

5. Under these circumstances I beg to suggest the desirability of introducing a proviso to rule 6 of the special rules to the effect that in cases like the one under reference where a borrower applies for permission to liquidate the debt sooner than the rules allow him to liquidate he may be allowed to do so,

but

but when owing to default on his part the land has to be proceeded against the sale there of may be held subject to the payment of the special acreage charge as laid down in rule 6. of Government approval of this course, a loan deed will in this case be issued making the loan repayable in 10 years.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

F.H. Rice

for Deputy Commissioner

Collet

Dear Mr. J. V. Krishnaiya.

In reply to your note of the 25th instant I am enclosed to state that no orders have yet been passed in Tumkur case. The file is being submitted to Government for orders & will be sent to Tiptur tomorrow. That Mr. Rao will be transferred as the case.

Yours
Very truly
B. Ramaiya
27/6/98

Madras Rule under
the Land Improvement
Loans Act "Rule IV
wh. the borrower
has the option pay
up earlier than the
prescribed period &
get the remaining instal-
ments reduced.
I do not see however
similar provision
in the Bijapur & Kanara
Irrigation Bill Rules
Was there any object
in omitting it

I think provision
must be made when
amending the Rules for
the ~~sale~~ & for ~~sales~~ ~~sale~~
~~sales~~ securing ~~sale~~ to
parties & purchasers
by private sale as
has been done for purchasers
at Sale for arrears
during the currency

Under Sec 107 the Irrigation will only meet the case of Iminingombe of Kyadjinte Sira taluk. See my remark on that case

Hd Jagadeeshas
14/6/98.

Sir,

In petn of Iminingombe of Kyadjinte, Sira taluq is forthcoming in the years 1896-97 and 1897-98.

Asst
16/6/98

Submitted with the Rules
(Vide bulked file).

Iminingombe's case is stale
with the Commissioner Eastern Division.
Please see the Clerks Supt's letter.

28/10/98

Transfer to Land Revenue Dept.

Letter No. 1075/1014 of 30th May 1898 from the Collector of Chittagong

---

No. R 998-998 24/8/01
24

Ex V.P.

L R
998-998
2

Order thereon.

A loan of Rs 300/- (three hundred) is sanctioned for sinking one irrigation well in his land in Mauza No 2 situated in the Village of Torabali Jogalia Zaluk. The well is reported to have been completed & in working order. According to Rules the first instalment of the repayment of the loan is to be 30 years but the loan may be realised when the ryot sells the land in 10 years. The Collector reports that all is right & it is the intention of Govt

to secure the accumulation as early as possible, &c. It is not proper to refuse larger payments when offered by the parties themselves or their ~~representatives~~ ~~who solicit~~ solicitors ~~for~~ to the issuing of a larger Bill, ~~but~~ the larger repayable in course. ~~which is hereby~~ ~~sanctioned~~ the Governor's proposal is sanctioned

22/8/01

2d

the Governor's ~~sanction~~ proposal is accordingly

[illegible]